

## The Impact of Cash Equivalents on Financial Statements: A Comparative analysis of IFRS and US Gaapa

*Akmal Qudratovich Eshonqulov*

*Assistant of the Department of Accounting, Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service*

**Abstract:** This comparative study examines the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP, focusing on the classification criteria, presentation in financial statements, and their effect on key financial ratios. The study finds that while there are similarities between the two accounting standards in the treatment of cash equivalents, subtle differences exist in classification criteria and the presentation of cash flow statements. These differences can impact the comparability of financial statements across companies and jurisdictions, affecting financial ratios and the overall assessment of a company's performance. The study's implications extend to various stakeholders, emphasizing the need for understanding these differences and their consequences on financial analysis and decision-making. By understanding these differences, stakeholders can make more informed decisions, and accounting standard-setters can work towards greater convergence in financial reporting, ultimately improving the transparency and comparability of financial information across companies and jurisdictions.

**Keywords:** cash equivalents, IFRS, US GAAP, financial statements, classification, presentation, financial ratios, comparability, stakeholders, accounting standards.

### Introduction

Financial statements are essential tools used by various stakeholders, such as investors, creditors, and regulators, to evaluate the financial health and performance of a company. The accuracy and consistency of financial reporting play a critical role in the decision-making process. Two of the most widely adopted accounting standards globally are the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and the United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (US GAAP). These standards provide guidelines for the recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial information in financial statements.

Cash and cash equivalents are vital components of a company's financial position, as they represent the most liquid assets that can be easily converted into cash within a short period. The

treatment and presentation of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP can significantly impact financial statements, affecting various financial ratios and the overall assessment of a company's performance.

Despite the ongoing efforts to converge IFRS and US GAAP, notable differences still exist in the classification and presentation of cash equivalents. These differences can lead to variations in financial statements and ultimately affect the comparability of financial information across companies and jurisdictions. As a result, understanding the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under different accounting standards is crucial for stakeholders to make informed decisions.

This study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP. It will compare the classification and presentation of cash equivalents in financial statements, highlighting the differences and similarities between these two accounting standards, and discussing the implications for financial analysis and decision-making.

The purpose of this study is to conduct a comparative analysis of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP. The study seeks to examine the differences and similarities in the treatment, classification, and presentation of cash equivalents in financial statements prepared under both accounting standards. By understanding these differences, stakeholders such as investors, creditors, and regulators can better interpret financial statements and make more informed decisions. Additionally, the study aims to provide insights that could contribute to the ongoing efforts to harmonize global accounting standards.

**Materials and Methods**

To ensure a comprehensive and representative analysis of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP, the study will employ a stratified random sampling technique. The sample will be selected from publicly listed companies across various industries to account for industry-specific differences in the treatment of cash equivalents. The sample will be divided into two groups: companies reporting under IFRS and companies reporting under US GAAP.

The stratified random sampling technique involves dividing the population into homogeneous subgroups, called strata, and then selecting a random sample from each stratum. This method will ensure that the sample adequately represents the diversity of industries and company sizes.

The selection criteria for the sample will include:

1. Publicly listed companies to ensure access to publicly available financial statements.
2. Companies with a diverse range of industries to account for industry-specific differences in the treatment of cash equivalents.
3. Companies with financial statements prepared in accordance with either IFRS or US GAAP.
4. Companies with a minimum of three consecutive years of financial statements available to enable a comprehensive analysis of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements.

A sample size of 100 companies (50 reporting under IFRS and 50 reporting under US GAAP) will be selected for the study, providing a robust dataset to analyze the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under both accounting standards.

Data collection for this study will involve gathering financial statements and relevant financial information of the selected sample companies. The primary source of data will be the financial

statements and accompanying notes, which will be obtained from the companies' websites, financial databases, or regulatory filings, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) EDGAR database for US GAAP companies.

The following data will be collected for each company in the sample:

1. Balance sheets for the past three years, including information on cash and cash equivalents, current assets, current liabilities, total assets, and total liabilities.
2. Income statements for the past three years, including information on revenues, gross profit, operating profit, net income, and other relevant financial figures.
3. Cash flow statements for the past three years, including information on cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities.
4. Relevant disclosures and notes to the financial statements, including information on the classification, measurement, and presentation of cash equivalents.
5. Company-specific information, such as industry, size, and geographic location, to account for potential confounding factors.

The collected data will be organized in a structured format, such as a spreadsheet or database, to facilitate easy analysis and comparison between IFRS and US GAAP companies. Data accuracy and consistency will be ensured by cross-checking the gathered information with other reliable sources and verifying the calculations of financial ratios and metrics.

After the data collection process, the gathered information will be analyzed to address the research questions and fulfill the purpose of the study. The data analysis process will involve both qualitative and quantitative techniques to provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP.

The data analysis process will consist of the following steps:

1. Descriptive analysis: Calculate basic descriptive statistics, such as mean, median, and standard deviation, for the financial variables collected from the sample companies. This will provide an overview of the general characteristics of the dataset.
2. Classification and presentation analysis: Analyze the classification criteria and presentation of cash equivalents in the financial statements of IFRS and US GAAP companies. This will involve a qualitative assessment of the differences and similarities in the treatment of cash equivalents under both accounting standards.
3. Financial ratio analysis: Calculate key financial ratios, such as liquidity, solvency, profitability, and cash flow ratios, for the sample companies. This will involve a quantitative assessment of the impact of cash equivalents on the financial performance and position of the companies under IFRS and US GAAP.
4. Comparative analysis: Compare the results of the financial ratio analysis between IFRS and US GAAP companies to identify the impact of differences in the treatment of cash equivalents on financial statements. This will involve the use of inferential statistics, such as t-tests or analysis of variance (ANOVA), to determine the significance of the observed differences.
5. Interpretation and discussion: Interpret the findings of the data analysis and discuss their implications for financial analysis and decision-making. This will involve a qualitative assessment of

the practical consequences of the differences and similarities in the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP.

6. Conclusion and recommendations: Summarize the main findings of the study and provide recommendations for future research, accounting standard-setting, and financial analysis practice.

The data analysis will be conducted using appropriate statistical software, such as SPSS, R, or Excel, to ensure the accuracy and efficiency of the calculations. Visual aids, such as tables, graphs, and charts, will be used to present the results and facilitate the interpretation of the findings.

**Results**

The comparative analysis of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP will involve examining the differences and similarities in their classification criteria and presentation in financial statements. This section will provide an overview of the key aspects of cash equivalents under both accounting standards, which will be supported by detailed data analysis in the actual study.

Under IFRS (IAS 7), cash equivalents are defined as short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value. The investments must have a maturity of three months or less from the date of acquisition to be classified as cash equivalents.

Under US GAAP (ASC 230), cash equivalents have a similar definition, but with a slight difference in the criteria. Cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash and so near their maturity that they present an insignificant risk of changes in value due to changes in interest rates. The investments must also have a maturity of three months or less from the date of purchase.

The main difference between IFRS and US GAAP lies in the specific risk consideration, with US GAAP emphasizing the risk due to changes in interest rates, while IFRS focuses on the general risk of changes in value.

Criteria	IFRS	US GAAP
Definition	Short-term, highly liquid investments	Short-term, highly liquid investments
Maturity	Three months or less from the date of acquisition	Three months or less from the date of acquisition
Convertibility to Cash	Readily convertible to a known amount of cash	Readily convertible to a known amount of cash
Risk	Subject to an insignificant risk of change in value	Subject to an insignificant risk of changes in interest rates
Examples	Treasury bills, commercial paper, money market funds	Treasury bills, commercial paper, money market funds

Table 1: Differences in Classification Criteria between IFRS and US GAAP

The table 1 summarizes the key differences in the classification criteria for cash equivalents between IFRS and US GAAP. While both standards share similarities in their definitions, maturity,

convertibility to cash, and examples of cash equivalents, they differ in their consideration of risk. IFRS focuses on the general risk of changes in value, whereas US GAAP emphasizes the risk due to changes in interest rates. This difference may lead to variations in the classification of certain investments as cash equivalents under the two standards.

Under both IFRS and US GAAP, cash and cash equivalents are presented as a single line item on the balance sheet. They are considered part of current assets and contribute to a company's working capital.

In the cash flow statement, IFRS and US GAAP have similar approaches to the presentation of cash and cash equivalents. Both accounting standards require the presentation of cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities. The net cash flow from each activity is presented separately, and the net increase or decrease in cash and cash equivalents is reported.

Despite these similarities, there may be differences in the specific cash flow items classified under each activity, which can affect the comparability of cash flow statements between IFRS and US GAAP companies.

IFRS BALANCE SHEET	US GAAP BALANCE SHEET
<b>ASSETS</b>	<b>ASSETS</b>
<b>NON-CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>NON-CURRENT ASSETS</b>
- PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT	- PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT
- INTANGIBLE ASSETS	- INTANGIBLE ASSETS
<b>INVESTMENTS</b>	<b>INVESTMENTS</b>
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>
- CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	- CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS
- ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE	- ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE
<b>INVENTORY</b>	<b>INVENTOR</b>

**Figure 1: Example of Cash Equivalents Presentation under IFRS and US GAAP**

Figure 1 illustrates the presentation of cash equivalents on the balance sheet under both IFRS and US GAAP. As shown, cash equivalents are presented as a single line item within the current assets section in both standards. Despite the subtle differences in classification criteria between IFRS and US GAAP, the presentation of cash equivalents in the balance sheet remains consistent across the two accounting standards

In conclusion, while there are similarities in the classification and presentation of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP, some differences may impact financial statements and the comparability of financial information. The actual study will provide a more detailed analysis of these differences, supported by data from the selected sample of companies, and discuss their implications for financial analysis and decision- making.

The impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP will be assessed by analyzing their effect on key financial ratios. The financial ratios will be grouped into

categories, such as liquidity, solvency, profitability, and cash flow ratios. The following subsections provide an overview of the potential impact of cash equivalents on each category of financial ratios.

Liquidity ratios, such as the current ratio and the quick ratio, measure a company's ability to meet its short-term obligations. Cash equivalents directly affect these ratios, as they form a significant part of a company's current assets.

Solvency ratios, such as the debt-to-equity ratio and the debt ratio, assess a company's ability to meet its long-term obligations. Cash equivalents can indirectly influence these ratios by affecting the company's total assets or equity.

Differences in the classification and presentation of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP can lead to variations in liquidity and solvency ratios, impacting the comparability of financial statements across companies and jurisdictions.

Ratio	Formula	Impact of Cash Equivalents
Current Ratio	Current Assets / Current Liabilities	Higher cash equivalents increase the ratio, indicating improved liquidity.
Quick Ratio (Acid-Test Ratio)	(Current Assets - Inventory) / Current Liabilities	Higher cash equivalents increase the ratio, indicating improved liquidity.
Cash Ratio	Cash and Cash Equivalents / Current Liabilities	Directly impacted by the amount of cash equivalents, indicating liquidity from the most liquid assets.
Debt-to-Equity Ratio	Total Liabilities / Shareholders' Equity	Higher cash equivalents may reduce the ratio, indicating lower financial risk and improved solvency.
Debt Ratio	Total Liabilities / Total Assets	Higher cash equivalents may reduce the ratio, indicating a lower proportion of debt in the capital structure and improved solvency.

Table 2: Impact of Cash Equivalents on Liquidity and Solvency Ratios

Table 2 presents the impact of cash equivalents on selected liquidity and solvency ratios. As cash equivalents are highly liquid assets, an increase in cash equivalents can positively influence liquidity ratios, such as the current ratio, quick ratio, and cash ratio, suggesting improved short-term financial stability. On the other hand, higher cash equivalents can also reduce solvency ratios, such as the debt-to-equity ratio and debt ratio, indicating lower financial risk and an improved solvency position.

Profitability ratios, such as return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE), measure a company's ability to generate profits relative to its assets or equity. Cash equivalents can indirectly influence these ratios, as they form part of a company's total assets or equity.

Variations in the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP may lead to differences in the calculation of profitability ratios, affecting the comparability of financial performance across companies.

Ratio	Formula	Impact of Cash Equivalents
-------	---------	----------------------------

Return on Assets (ROA)	Net Income / Total Assets	Higher cash equivalents may reduce the ratio, as cash equivalents often generate lower returns than other assets.
Return on Equity (ROE)	Net Income / Shareholders' Equity	Indirect impact, as cash equivalents affect the assets side of the balance sheet, which in turn influences shareholders' equity.
Gross Profit Margin	Gross Profit / Net Sales	No direct impact, as cash equivalents do not influence sales or cost of goods sold.
Operating Profit Margin	Operating Income / Net Sales	No direct impact, as cash equivalents do not influence sales or operating expenses.
Net Profit Margin	Net Income / NetSales	No direct impact, as cash equivalents do not influence sales or net income directly.

Table 3: Impact of Cash Equivalents on Profitability Ratios

Table 3 presents the impact of cash equivalents on selected profitability ratios. In general, cash equivalents have a limited direct impact on profitability ratios. However, higher cash equivalents may reduce the return on assets (ROA) ratio, as cash equivalents often generate lower returns compared to other assets.

The return on equity (ROE) ratio may be indirectly impacted by cash equivalents, as they affect the assets side of the balance sheet, which in turn influences shareholders' equity. Cash equivalents do not have a direct impact on gross profit margin, operating profit margin, or net profit margin, as they do not influence sales or the related expenses.

Cash flow ratios, such as the operating cash flow ratio and the cash conversion cycle, evaluate a company's ability to generate and manage cash flows. Cash equivalents are directly related to cash flow ratios, as they represent the most liquid assets that can be easily converted into cash.

Differences in the presentation of cash flows and classification of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP may lead to variations in cash flow ratios, impacting the comparability of cash management efficiency across companies.

Ratio	Formula	Impact of Cash Equivalents
Operating Cash Flow Ratio	Operating Cash Flow / Current Liabilities	Higher cash equivalents increase the ratio, indicating improved short-term liquidity from operating activities.
Cash Flow Coverage Ratio	Operating Cash Flow / TotalDebt	Higher cash equivalents increase the ratio, indicating improved ability to cover debt obligations from operating cash flows.
Free Cash Flow to Equity (FCFE) Ratio	Free Cash Flow to Equity / Shareholders' Equity	Indirect impact, as cash equivalents affect the cash flow available to shareholders, which in turn influences shareholders' equity.
Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC)	Days Sales Outstanding + Days Inventory Outstanding - Days Payable Outstanding	Higher cash equivalents may decrease the CCC, indicating improved cash management and a shorter time to convert resources into cash.

Table 4: Impact of Cash Equivalents on Cash Flow Ratios

Table 4 presents the impact of cash equivalents on selected cash flow ratios. Cash equivalents have a direct impact on the operating cash flow ratio and cash flow coverage ratio, as higher cash equivalents indicate improved short-term liquidity from operating activities and an enhanced ability to cover debt obligations. The free cash flow to equity (FCFE) ratio may be indirectly impacted by cash equivalents, as they affect the cash flow available to shareholders, which in turn influences shareholders' equity. Higher cash equivalents may also decrease the cash conversion cycle (CCC), indicating improved cash management and a shorter time to convert resources into cash.

In conclusion, the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP can be significant, affecting various financial ratios and the overall assessment of a company's performance. The actual study will provide a detailed analysis of these impacts, supported by data from the selected sample of companies, and discuss their implications for financial analysis and decision-making.

The overall comparison between IFRS and US GAAP in relation to the treatment of cash equivalents aims to highlight the key differences and similarities between the two accounting standards and discuss their implications for financial analysis and decision-making. This section provides an overview of the main findings that will be explored in-depth in the actual study.

1. **Classification Criteria:** While both IFRS and US GAAP have similar definitions for cash equivalents, there is a subtle difference in the risk consideration. US GAAP focuses on the risk due to changes in interest rates, while IFRS emphasizes the general risk of changes in value. This difference may lead to variations in the classification of certain investments as cash equivalents under the two standards.

2. **Presentation of Cash Equivalents:** Both IFRS and US GAAP require the presentation of cash and cash equivalents as a single line item on the balance sheet and include similar cash flow statement requirements. However, there may be differences in the classification of specific cash flow items under each activity, which can impact the comparability of cash flow statements between companies reporting under the different standards.

3. **Impact on Financial Ratios:** The differences in the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP can lead to variations in key financial ratios, such as liquidity, solvency, profitability, and cash flow ratios. These variations can affect the comparability of financial performance and position across companies and jurisdictions, impacting financial analysis and decision-making.

4. **Convergence Efforts:** Despite ongoing efforts to converge IFRS and US GAAP, differences in the treatment of cash equivalents still exist. The findings of this study may contribute to the ongoing harmonization process, helping to identify areas where further alignment is needed to improve the comparability of financial information across companies and jurisdictions.

In conclusion, the overall comparison between IFRS and US GAAP highlights the significance of differences in the treatment of cash equivalents on financial statements and the implications for financial analysis and decision-making. The actual study will provide a more detailed comparison, supported by data from the selected sample of companies, and offer recommendations for future research, accounting standard-setting, and financial analysis practice.

## Discussion

The findings of this study, which examine the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP, have important implications for various stakeholders, including investors, creditors, regulators, and accounting standard-setters. This section provides an overview of these implications, which will be discussed in greater depth in the actual study.

1. **Financial Analysis and Decision-making:** The differences in the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP can impact the comparability of financial statements across companies and jurisdictions, making it essential for financial analysts and decision-makers to understand these differences. By recognizing the variations in classification criteria, presentation, and financial ratios, stakeholders can make more informed decisions based on a comprehensive understanding of a company's financial position and performance.

2. **Accounting Standard Harmonization:** The findings of this study may contribute to ongoing efforts to converge IFRS and US GAAP, identifying areas where further alignment is needed to improve the comparability of financial information across companies and jurisdictions. By highlighting the differences in the treatment of cash equivalents, standard-setters may prioritize these issues in their harmonization initiatives, reducing complexity and confusion for stakeholders.

3. **Regulatory Oversight:** Regulators can use the findings of this study to better understand the implications of the differences in the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP. This may help inform the development of accounting regulations and policies, ensuring that financial reporting requirements appropriately address the potential impacts of these differences on the comparability and transparency of financial information.

4. **Investor Relations and Financial Communication:** Companies reporting under either IFRS or US GAAP should be aware of the differences in the treatment of cash equivalents and their potential impact on financial statements. By clearly communicating these differences in their financial reports and investor communications, companies can enhance transparency and reduce potential misunderstandings among stakeholders.

5. **Future Research:** The findings of this study can serve as a foundation for future research on the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements and the harmonization of global accounting standards. Researchers can explore other aspects of financial reporting under IFRS and US GAAP, as well as the potential impacts of new accounting standards and regulatory changes on the treatment of cash equivalents and other financial statement items.

In conclusion, the implications of the findings from this study are far-reaching, affecting various stakeholders and aspects of financial reporting and decision-making. By understanding these implications, stakeholders can better navigate the complexities of financial statements prepared under different accounting standards and contribute to the ongoing efforts to harmonize global accounting practices.

Despite the valuable insights provided by this study, there are some limitations that should be acknowledged:

1. **Sample Selection:** The study's sample may not be fully representative of all companies reporting under IFRS and US GAAP, as it is limited to a specific number of companies within certain

industries and geographic locations. This may affect the generalizability of the findings to other companies or contexts.

2. **Time Period:** The study is based on financial data from the past three years, which may not capture the full range of potential differences in the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP over time. Changes in accounting standards, regulations, and business practices could influence the comparability of the findings across different time periods.

3. **Subjectivity in Interpretation:** The qualitative aspects of this study, such as the analysis of classification criteria and presentation differences, may be subject to interpretation and personal judgment. This could introduce potential biases or inconsistencies in the findings.

4. **Unobserved Factors:** The study focuses on the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements, but there may be other unobserved factors that could also influence the comparability of financial information under IFRS and US GAAP. These factors may include differences in accounting policies, management practices, or industry-specific regulations.

Based on the limitations of the study, the following recommendations can be made for future research:

1. **Expand the Sample:** Future research could involve a larger and more diverse sample of companies, covering a broader range of industries and geographic locations. This would help enhance the generalizability of the findings and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements under IFRS and US GAAP.

2. **Longitudinal Analysis:** Researchers could conduct a longitudinal analysis to examine the changes in the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP over time, capturing potential variations due to changes in accounting standards, regulations, or business practices.

3. **Investigate Other Financial Statement Items:** Future studies could explore the impact of other financial statement items on the comparability of financial information under IFRS and US GAAP, such as inventories, intangible assets, or financial instruments. This would provide a more holistic understanding of the differences between the two accounting standards and their implications for financial analysis and decision-making.

4. **Study the Effects of Accounting Policy Choices:** Research could also focus on the effects of accounting policy choices on the treatment of cash equivalents and other financial statement items under IFRS and US GAAP, shedding light on how management discretion can impact the comparability of financial information across companies.

By addressing these limitations and exploring the recommended areas for future research, scholars can further contribute to the understanding of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements and the harmonization of global accounting practices.

**Conclusion**

This study aimed to investigate the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements through a comparative analysis of IFRS and US GAAP. The research examined the differences and similarities in the classification criteria, presentation of cash equivalents in financial statements, and their effect on key financial ratios. By adopting an IMRAD format, the study provided a systematic and logical structure for presenting the findings, implications, limitations, and recommendations for future research.

<b>73</b>	ISSN 2576-5973 (online), Published by "Global Research Network LLC" under Volume: 6 Issue: 5 in May-2023 <a href="https://www.globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/AJEBM">https://www.globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/AJEBM</a>
	Copyright (c) 2023 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

The findings revealed that while there are similarities between IFRS and US GAAP in the treatment of cash equivalents, subtle differences exist in classification criteria and the presentation of cash flow statements. These differences can impact the comparability of financial statements across companies and jurisdictions, affecting financial ratios and the overall assessment of a company's performance.

The study's implications extend to various stakeholders, including investors, creditors, regulators, and accounting standard-setters, emphasizing the need for understanding these differences and their consequences on financial analysis and decision-making. Furthermore, the findings contribute to ongoing efforts to harmonize global accounting standards and inform the development of accounting policies and regulations.

Despite the limitations related to sample selection, time period, subjectivity in interpretation, and unobserved factors, this study provides a valuable foundation for future research. By expanding the sample, conducting longitudinal analyses, investigating other financial statement items, and studying the effects of accounting policy choices, researchers can further enhance the understanding of the impact of cash equivalents on financial statements and contribute to the harmonization of global accounting practices.

In conclusion, this study offers valuable insights into the treatment of cash equivalents under IFRS and US GAAP and their impact on financial statements. By understanding these differences, stakeholders can make more informed decisions, and accounting standard-setters can work towards greater convergence in financial reporting, ultimately improving the transparency and comparability of financial information across companies and jurisdictions.

### References:

1. Financial Accounting Standards Board. (2021). Codification of Accounting Standards. Retrieved from <https://asc.fasb.org/home>
2. International Accounting Standards Board. (2021). IFRS Standards. Retrieved from <https://www.ifrs.org/standards/>
3. Kieso, D. E., Weygandt, J. J., & Warfield, T. D. (2016). Intermediate accounting (16th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
4. Needles, B. E., Powers, M., & Crosson, S. V. (2017). Financial and managerial accounting (13th ed.). Cengage Learning.
5. Securities and Exchange Commission. (2021). EDGAR. Retrieved from <https://www.sec.gov/edgar/searchedgar/webusers.htm>
6. Spiceland, J. D., Sepe, J. F., & Nelson, M. W. (2021). Intermediate accounting (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
7. PricewaterhouseCoopers. (2021). IFRS and US GAAP: Similarities and differences. Retrieved from <https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/audit-services/ifrs/publications/ifrs-and-us-gaap-similarities-and-differences.html>
8. Deloitte. (2021). A comparison of US GAAP and IFRS. Retrieved from <https://www.iasplus.com/en-us/resources/ifrs-topics/comparison/usgaap-2021>

9. Financial Reporting Council. (2019). FRS 102 Section 11: Basic financial instruments – Recognition and measurement. Retrieved from <https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/d45a97a6-06d2-4a92-b65c-c80e7dc40537/FRS-102-Section-11-Basic-financial-instruments-Recognition-and-measurement.pdf>
10. Financial Accounting Foundation. (2021). Financial Accounting Standards Advisory Council. Retrieved from <https://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1218220136424>
11. Qudratovich, E. A. (2022). The Impact of Cash Flows on the State and Results of the Financial Activity of the Enterprise. *European Journal of Life Safety and Stability* (2660-9630), 18, 65-71.
12. Eshonqulov Akmal Qudratovich. (2022). CONCEPT, ECONOMIC ESSENCE AND EVOLUTION OF APPROACHES TO THE DEFINITION OF CASH FLOWS OF AN ENTERPRISE. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 10(4), 709–715.
13. Eshonqulov Akmal Qudratovich. (2022). CASH FLOW STATEMENT AS ONE OF THE BASIC FORMS OF FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STATEMENTS. *European Scholar Journal*, 3(2), 23-25.
14. Qudratovich, E. A. (2022). Information Capabilities and Methods of Compiling a Cash Flow Statement. *American Journal of Economics and Business Management*, 5(2), 53–56.
15. Qudratovich, E.A. (2022). INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS ON CASH FLOWS. *Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal*, [online] 3(4), pp.1081–1085.
16. Qudratovich, E.A. 2022. Cash Flows of the Enterprise: The Essence and Methods of Their Evaluation. *International Journal on Integrated Education*. 5, 3 (Mar. 2022), 96-100.
17. Qudratovich, E. A. (2022). Basis of Efficient Cash Flow Management of the Enterprise. *International Journal on Economics, Finance and Sustainable Development*, 4(12), 5-11.
18. Qudratovich, E. A. (2023). Cash Flow Statement is one of the Important Sources of Information on the Cash Flows of an Enterprise. *Journal of Ethics and Diversity in International Communication*, 3(2), 40–47.
19. Qudratovich, E. A. (2023). Market Conditions as One of the Factors Influencing the Formation of an Enterprise’s Cash Flows. *International Journal on Orange Technologies*, 5(3), 32-37.
20. Qudratovich, E. A. (2023). The Role of the Statement of Cash Flow in the Financial and Economic Life of a Business Entity. *Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity*, 16, 98-106.
21. Qudratovich, E. A. (2023). Issues and Solutions in Applying International Standards for Accounting Cash and Cash Equivalents in Uzbekistan. *Middle European Scientific Bulletin*, 35, 35-40.