

American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research

Vol. 5 Issue 9 | pp. 245-252 | ISSN: 2690-9626 Available online @ https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr



Article

# Building a Sustainable and Resilient U.S. Disaster Response and Relief Logistics System: Lessons from Domestic and International Events

Chinelo Onwuzulu<sup>1</sup>, Piyanut Asawasukhon<sup>2</sup>

1,2 Department of Business Administration, Hutton School of Business, The University of the Cumberlands, Williamsburg, Kentucky, United States of America.
\*correspondence: -

**Abstract:** The United States (U.S.) has experienced frequent and severe natural disasters in recent time. This has in turn uncovered significant challenges in disaster relief and emergency response systems, particularly in the area of logistics. These challenges often lead to delays, inefficiencies and environmental consequences. Hence, creating a two-sided challenge, that requires both sustainability initiatives as well as a resilient Logistics system to fix. The lessons learned from prior domestic and international occurrences such as Hurricane Katrina, the 2011 Japan tsunami, and the Haiti earthquake presents the basis for the paper to examine the weaknesses and develop means of improving the current system. Therefore, this paper focuses on how the U.S. can improve its disaster response and relief system while building a resilient logistics system through unique strategies and recommendations inspired by the lessons learned from previous happenings. The recommendations for improvement include the implementation of green technologies, the decentralization of the system, improved public-private partnerships, and the optimization of the supply chain through the uses of predictive analytics and blockchain technology (Ahmed et al., 2024; Bealt et al., 2016; Hoshiba & Ozaki, 2014).

**Keywords:** Emergency Response Management, Logistics Management, Natural disaster, Supply Chain Management, United States of America.

# 1. Introduction

Natural disasters such as floods, hurricanes and wildfires have increased in occurrence globally and the U.S. has also been hit by these events, exposing the pitfalls in the existing disaster response & relief systems. The traditional disaster response mechanisms, while effective in the short term, often struggle with inefficiencies and sustainability challenges. In other for the U.S. to combat this issue, a re-evaluation and improvement of the existing system is urgently required. The integration of sustainable business practices into the logistics process of disaster response & relief doesn't just serve as a means of responding to disasters but a proactive approach for the creation of a system that is more environmentally friendly and resilient. The traditional logistics process in disaster relief entails planning and executing how resources, personnel and information are transported, in support of areas that are affected by disaster. However, the sustainable logistics system is slightly different as it adds the features of minimizing environmental

**Citation:** Onwuzulu, C. Building a Sustainable and Resilient U.S. Disaster Response and Relief Logistics System: Lessons from Domestic and International Events. American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research 2024, 5(9), 245-252.

Received: 10<sup>th</sup> June 2024 Revised: 11<sup>th</sup> July 2024 Accepted: 24<sup>th</sup> August 2024 Published: 25<sup>th</sup> Sept 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(https://creativecommons.org/lice nses/by/4.0/)

impact while maximizing efficiency, waste reduction and the creation of a framework that is more robust and adaptable.

Consequently, models like the circular economy model emphasize the reuse of resources as well as waste reduction, thereby enhancing the sustainability of disaster response operations (De Lima et al., 2022). These strategies will in turn lead to enhanced speed, redefined logistics practices, resource use that is optimized, improved operational efficiency and environmental impact, giving room for a future that is resilient. According to Govindan et al., (2020), this method doesn't just benefit environmental sustainability, but it is also key for the improvement of the effectiveness of disaster response & relief operations. Therefore, this paper examines case studies from prior events that have taken place both locally and internationally. In order to draw and interpret the lessons learned and then build new strategies that can help in addressing the immediate challenges as well as the long-term goals.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

The U.S has experienced several disasters, some on the large-scale while others have varying severity. In response to these disasters certain agencies such as the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Military branches and local authorities are saddled with the responsibility of responding swiftly to these events despite the logistical challenges (Sylves, 2021). The main aim of these agencies is to quickly provide relief to those affected by these occurrences. However, this can only be achieved when there is a resilient logistics system and this is evidenced in the below case studies.

# Domestic Case Studies

The 2023 Maui Wildfires

was a devastating event that took place in Maui, Hawaii. Thousands of people were displaced, and many homes were destroyed, stretching out to the entire community. The response to this wildfire was majorly marked by the slow delivery of emergency supplies, supply route issues, and bureaucracies. Making it evident that the decentralization of the decision-making process is vital, especially during emergency response. Also, the fragility of the supply chain indicates an urgent need for supply chain resilience locally. Although, it might be argued that the remote locations in Hawaii made it difficult to reach some areas, however, this should be a wake-up call for the improvement of the response and relief system; bearing in mind that there are areas which are not easily reachable (Deans, 2023).

• The 2020 Covid-19 Pandemic

The entire world was brought to a standstill within the twinkle of an eye due to the coronavirus pandemic that spread fast across nations. This led to lockdown mandates in order to slow down its spread. During this time, it became extremely difficult to get goods or services, especially essential goods such as personal protective equipment (PPE). There were significant delays in the distribution of vaccines and medical supplies, indicating the urgent need for a logistics system that is more adaptive and agile, with the capability of responding swiftly to large-scale disruptions and unexpected events (Jiang & Yuan, 2019; Govindan et al., 2020).

• The 2012 Hurricane Sandy

Recorded improvements in the relief and response system, however, it was marked with its own challenges as critical resources were delayed due to logistics challenges (Connelly et al., 2016). For starters, the resources were mismanaged, coupled with the communication problems that brought confusion among the stakeholders. This slowed down and complicated the response and relief efforts.

• The 2005 Hurricane Katrina

This is perhaps the most infamous example of supply chain disruptions in U.S. history as it highlighted the significant flaws in its coordination and preparedness. Leading to significant delays in the delivery of essential supplies, miscommunication in the logistics process, as well as the coordination challenges (Collier et al., 2020). Thereby highlighting the importance of sustainability and resilience to the logistics system and the economy.

# Lessons Learned

The reoccurring problems from the above case studies center around logistical problems, including the disjointed communication among stakeholders, inadequate or lack of pre-planning, and the overall coordination of the entire process. Thus, all these are pointers to the importance of learning from both the past and recent disasters. In a bid to promote improvement a flexible and adaptable system that is both proactive and reactive to emerging challenges is required (McEntire, 2021).

# **International Case Studies**

# • The 2023 Turkey-Syria Earthquake

The widespread destructions and overwhelming challenges caused by the series of earthquakes that hit Turkey and Syria attracted international aid agencies. However, due to damaged infrastructure, these agencies experienced difficulties in the delivery of relief supplies. Thereby, emphasizing the significance of global technology integration, cooperation, and pre-planning for timely responses during large-scale disasters (Kaur, 2022).

# • The 2020 Cyclone Amphan

This occurrence happened in India and Bangladesh, and it showed how the frequency and scale of disasters is intensified by climate change. The Bangladesh community-based disaster management system was able to mitigate the worst outcomes. However, logistics problems hampered the delivery of relief materials to the rural areas, indicating the importance of improved local capacity and sustainability in supply chain management (Azad et al., 2019).

# The 2013 Typhoon Haiyan in Philippines

This was so devastating that it drew the attention of international organizations who eventually were pivotal in the relief efforts. The major takeaway from this event is the collaborative effort of the government, and the Non-Government Organization (NGOs) as well as the private sectors in responding to this event, while providing relief. The value of collaboration across various sectors and the need for sustainable and resilient logistics systems that are adaptable to large-scale disasters is key (Bealt et al., 2016).

#### • The 2011 Tsunami in Japan

The emergency relief and response in Japan was tested by this event as it was a disruptive tsunami that occurred after the huge earthquake they experienced earlier. The country invested heavily in creating resilient infrastructure and disaster response technologies such as systems for early warning alerts. The Meteorological agency in Japan utilized this early warning system effectively to alert people on emergencies. This system performed very well during the Tsunami, however, the main challenge recorded here was logistical in nature. The major areas affected by this event were the distribution of food and medical supplies, which are key requirements during a disaster. Revealing the importance of a supply chain that is more resilient and coordinated. However, the postdisaster rebuilding efforts by Japan is more focused on sustainability and the integration of green logistics in the disaster recovery system, presenting a valuable lesson for others to follow (Hoshiba & Ozaki, 2014).

# • The 2010 Haiti Earthquake

This event highlighted the importance of a logistics system that is both sustainable and resilient. The impact of the earthquake was so disruptive that it drew international attention with aid coming in from various countries. However, the lack of infrastructure such as good roads, available ports and coordinated distribution channels hindered the relief efforts (McEntire, 2021). Indicating that building a resilient logistics system is paramount for timely disaster response and relief.

### Lessons Learned

All these international events identified common disaster response and relief problems such as lack of or inadequate infrastructure, logistics challenges, coordination and management challenges. It can also be deduced from these case studies that proper planning and investment in technology can aid in the timely response to disasters.

# 3. Results

# Challenges of the U.S. Disaster Response System Environmental Difficulties

According to Jiang & Yuan (2019), due to the urgency in needed resources during disaster response, the traditional method doesn't really consider sustainable practices that can preserve the environment. These operational processes of disaster relief typically have a significant environmental footprint. This is evident in the response to the 2021 Texas winter storm, which generated a significant amount of waste and pollution, highlighting the environmental costs associated with traditional disaster management practices (McEntire, 2021). However, these environmental footprints can be mitigated through sustainable logistics, which goes beyond impacting the environment to complicating the recovery efforts through the introduction of additional hazards.

# **Logistics Challenges**

Based on the case studied reviewed, one reoccurring challenge in all the cases has its root in logistics. For emergency information, supplies and services to flow smoothly, a resilient and sustainable logistics system is required. For instance, if agencies like FEMA and probably NGOs are not able to effectively communicate and coordinate activities among themselves; it will lead to overlapping responsibilities, miscommunications and inefficient use of resources (Deans, 2023). Furthermore, the agencies involved in these response and relief activities should have access to proper means of transportation as well as the available infrastructure.

#### **Resource Allocation Difficulties**

The traditional method of disaster relief is often plagued with resource allocation inefficiencies, that results in delayed aid distribution. For instance, there were noticeable delays in the response to Hurricane Laura in 2020 as essential supplies were not distributed in time emphasizing the need for an improvement in the coordination of logistics during disaster response (Collier, et al., 2020). When the needed essential resources cannot be allocated in good time during a disaster, it worsens the sufferings of the affected people.

#### Vulnerabilities of the Supply Chain

Globalization has increased the interest in international trade which has made the global supply chain more complex. This has introduced the risk of not being able to get critical supplies during disasters, especially when the disaster triggers supply chain disruptions. When there are disruptions in the domestic or global supply chain, there are often delays in the delivery of aid and sometimes, cost increases. This was evident during the COVID-19 pandemic as the disruptions in the Supply chain affected the distribution of critical goods, demonstrating the risk of relying on suppliers that are far of, while highlighting the need for a more resilient and adaptable logistics system (Jiang & Yuan,

2019). This was indeed a teachable moment that highlighted the weaknesses of the supply chain and its severe impact on the efficiency of the response to disasters. Hence, it is important to build a supply chain that is more resilient by the adoption of strategies that enhance flexibility, efficient allocation of resources, and sustainability (Collier et al., 2020).

#### Difficulty with the Integration of Sustainability Practices

Although there is a current push to integrate sustainability in the daily process, disaster relief efforts are yet to fully embrace this concept despite the fact that it could enhance efficiency and reduce environmental impact. Govindan et al. (2020) highlighted how the absence of sustainability practices limits the possibility of creating a disaster response system that is more resilient and eco-friendlier. Therefore, integrating sustainability into disaster relief strategies is essential for the creation of a response system that is both efficient and environmentally responsible.

# Recommendations for the Improvement of the U.S. Disaster Response and Relief Logistics System

#### Adoption of Green Technologies

The response and relief logistics system of the U.S can be enhanced by integrating green technologies such as energy-efficient vehicles and transportation routes that are optimized. These are great mediums for the minimization of fuel consumption and reduction of its environmental impact during the process of responding to disaster. Also, the use of solar power in temporary shelters and in the emergency hubs can present an appropriate means of incorporating renewable energy sources. These will in turn improve the operational efficiency and improve the sustainability levels. The alignment of the processes with sustainability goals will address the environmental impact concerns, while cutting operational cost in the long run (Cai & Choi, 2020; Mageto, 2021). Additionally, Negri et al. (2021) opined that the adoption of green logistics can lead to the improvement of community resilience both in the short and long-run. This makes the response and relief logistics system more resilient and environmentally friendly.

#### **Circular Economy (CE) Practices**

This entails the encouragement of recycling and reuse of materials like packages, medical supplies, elements of the infrastructure and shelter equipment. It also encompasses the implementation of strategies for waste reduction, thereby minimizing the consumption of resources, while responding to disaster. These strategies support the recovery of the local economy by the reduction of environmental waste and the provision of supply chains that are sustainable with benefits that last, even after the crisis is completely over. Thus, the flow of resources can be optimized by disaster management agencies through the incorporation of CE principles into the logistics system for long term resilience (Kaur & Singh, 2019; Azad et al., 2019).

#### Data Analytics & Technology

The transparency and efficiency of disaster response logistics can be improved through the use of advanced technologies such as drones, blockchain and real time data analytics. The use of real time data provides an avenue for the timely deployment of resources based on demand that's been forecasted accurately. Also, the supply chain processes can be streamline through the use of blockchain technology to improve the traceability of goods and services, while reducing fraud and encouraging coordination between the agencies and the stakeholders (Ge et al., 2020; L'Hermitte & Nair, 2021). In the event that the level of damage needs to be assessed or probably the affected area needs to be surveyed for safety reasons, this can be efficiently done through the use of drones. Furthermore, critical supplies can be delivered to areas that are hard to reach, through drones. The combination of all these technologies presents a logistics network that is more resilient, efficient and transparent (Shareef et al., 2022).

#### Infrastructure (Adaptive and Modular)

During a disaster the logistic response needs to be reconfigured to suit the need at that moment before deployment. This is where the benefits of the adaptive and modular infrastructure to disaster response logistics flourish. The resilience of the affected community is enhanced while adaptability reduces long term costs (McEntire, 2021; Hoshiba & Ozaki, 2014). Modular structures that are often set on a temporary basis to meet the urgent needs at the time of disaster such as pop-up medical centers, distribution hubs and shelters are set up and deployed quickly, indicating, speed, flexibility and effective operational response. Also, these structures provide a means for speedy recovery as well as the efficient allocation of resources. This is possible because of its ability to be scaled up or down depending on the level of severity and the duration of the crisis.

#### **Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)**

This collaboration is pivotal for the development of the economy, while supporting expertise, innovation and resources. The private sector organizations can be used by disaster relief agencies in fast-tracking disaster relief and response activities. Meaning that collaborations between the public and privates sector organizations can help in improving the disaster response logistics in the U.S. For instance, agencies like FEMA can partner with private logistics companies or technological companies to fast track the delivery of supplies during a disaster, while creating more efficient channels of communication during emergencies (Bealt et al., 2016; L'Hermitte & Nair, 2021).

#### **Digital Transformation**

The forecasting and demand planning process can be improved through the use of technologies like artificial intelligence and machine learning while ensuring the resources are pre-positioned in high-risk areas before disasters strike (Feng & Cui, 2021; Shareef et al., 2022). Agencies can also monitor the performance of the supply chain, manage disaster response operations, and track resource allocation more effectively through the integration of digital platforms. This is a great tool for the improvement of efficiency and the proper coordination of this disaster logistics system. These platforms are able to achieve this due to the availability of real time data sharing between the Federal, State and Local authorities, thereby reducing redundancies while improving the decision-making process (Feng & Cui, 2021; Shareef et al., 2022).

#### **Sustainability Practices**

This entails the use of eco-friendly packaging for supplies, the reduction of carbon footprints by the optimization of transportation routes, sustainable sourcing of disaster response equipment and supplies from suppliers (Cai & Choi, 2020). Furthermore, the dependency on the global supply chain network can be drastically reduced by the prioritization of local sourcing. This is an excellent strategy for building local economic resilience while shortening supply lines. These practices are efficient for addressing the immediate challenges as well as the long-term process of recovery (Rodriguez-Espindola et al., 2018). Therefore, the integration of sustainability practices in disaster response and relief logistics reduces environmental impacts and improves operational resilience.

#### **Decentralization and Local Resilience**

Positioning suppliers in regional hubs prior to these disasters and the fostering of community-based disaster management practices can make supply chains more agile and responsive (Azad et al., 2019; Deans, 2023). Thus, the decentralization of disaster response processes is crucial for the improvement of logistics and the enhancement of resilience. The expectation is that businesses, communities and local authorities, become empowered, such that they have the required tools, training and resources needed to manage and effectively respond autonomously to disaster. This move can lead to a reduction of the dependency on Federal agencies, while accelerating the response times, particularly for the underserviced or remote areas. Hence, the decentralization of the entire process will enable communities to be better equipped to handle disasters

independently, while adding value and resilience to the national disaster response system.

# 4. Conclusion

Enhancing the disaster relief and response system in the U.S. through sustainable logistics strategies is crucial for addressing current inefficiencies and building a more resilient supply chain. The case studies from prior events showcases past failures and successes in both the domestic and international scenes, shedding more light on the inadequacies of these systems and how inferences are drawn from the lessons learned to create a pathway forward. Hence, the transformation of the US disaster relief and response system entails the integration of sustainability practices into the logistics process in order to create a resilient system. The key recommendations include the adoption of green technologies, utilization of digital tools to enhance coordination and accountability, leveraging public-private partnership, and decentralizing disaster logistics. This is both a necessary step that provides the opportunity for the U.S. to set a new standard for effective and efficient disaster response as well as environmental stewardship. Once these inefficiencies are addressed, the environmental impacts are reduced, and a resilient supply chain is built. Then, a robust and adaptive disaster response system is created to manage both seen and unforeseen challenges.

# REFERENCES

- Ahmed, H., Al Bashar, M., Taher, M. A., & Rahman, M. A. (2024). Innovative Approaches To Sustainable Supply Chain Management In The Manufacturing Industry: A Systematic Literature Review. *Global Mainstream Journal* of Innovation, Engineering & Emerging Technology, 3(02), 01-13.
- Azad, M. A. K., Uddin, M. S., Zaman, S., & Ashraf, M. A. (2019). Community-based disaster management and its salient features: a policy approach to people-centered risk reduction in Bangladesh. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Rural Development*, 29(2), 135-160.
- 3. Bealt, J., Fernández Barrera, J. C., & Mansouri, S. A. (2016). Collaborative relationships between logistics service providers and humanitarian organizations during disaster relief operations. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, 6(2), 118-144.
- 4. Cai, Y. J., & Choi, T. M. (2020). A United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals perspective for sustainable textile and apparel supply chain management. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 141, 102010.
- Collier, J., Balakrishnan, S., & Zhang, Z. (2020). Comparing actions and lessons learned in transportation and logistics efforts for emergency response to hurricane Katrina and hurricane Harvey. *Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management*, 17(3), 20190022.
- 6. Connelly, E. B., Lambert, J. H., & Thekdi, S. A. (2016). Robust investments in humanitarian logistics and supply chains for disaster resilience and sustainable communities. *Natural Hazards Review*, *17*(1), 04015017.
- 7. De Lima, F. A., Seuring, S., & Sauer, P. C. (2022). A systematic literature review exploring uncertainty management and sustainability outcomes in circular supply chains. *International Journal of Production Research*, 60(19), 6013-6046.
- 8. Deans, F. E. (2023). Comparison of FEMA and Non-FEMA Disaster Response to Prior Hazardous Events in the City of Houston, Texas (Doctoral dissertation, Texas State University).
- 9. Feng, Y., & Cui, S. (2021). A review of emergency response in disasters: present and future perspectives. *Natural hazards*, 105, 1109-1138.
- 10. Ge, X., Yang, J., Wang, H., & Shao, W. (2020). A fuzzy-TOPSIS approach to enhance emergency logistics supply chain resilience. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 38(6), 6991-6999.
- 11. Govindan, K., Rajeev, A., Padhi, S. S., & Pati, R. K. (2020). Supply chain sustainability and performance of firms: A meta-analysis of the literature. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 137, 101923.
- 12. Hoshiba, M., & Ozaki, T. (2014). Earthquake early warning and tsunami warning of the Japan meteorological agency, and their performance in the 2011 off the Pacific Coast of Tohoku Earthquake (9.0). *In Early warning for geological disasters: Scientific methods and current practice* (pp. 1-28). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

- 13. Jiang, Y., & Yuan, Y. (2019). Emergency logistics in a large-scale disaster context: Achievements and challenges. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(5), 779.
- 14. Kaur, G. (2022). *Economic Growth, Climate Crisis and Natural Disasters*. IMPRI Impact and Policy Research Institute.
- 15. Kaur, H., & Singh, S. P. (2019). Sustainable procurement and logistics for disaster resilient supply chain. *Annals of Operations Research*, 283(1), 309-354.
- 16. L'Hermitte, C., & Nair, N. K. C. (2021). A blockchain-enabled framework for sharing logistics resources during emergency operations. *Disasters*, *45*(3), 527-554.
- 17. Mageto, J. (2021). Big data analytics in sustainable supply chain management: A focus on manufacturing supply chains. *Sustainability*, *13*(13), 7101.
- 18. McEntire, D. A. (2021). Disaster response and recovery: strategies and tactics for resilience. John Wiley & Sons.
- 19. Negri, M., Cagno, E., Colicchia, C., & Sarkis, J. (2021). Integrating sustainability and resilience in the supply chain: A systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Business Strategy and the environment*, 30(7), 2858-2886.
- 20. Rodríguez-Espíndola, O., Albores, P., & Brewster, C. (2018). Disaster preparedness in Humanitarian logistics: A collaborative approach for resource management in floods. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 264(3), 978-993.
- 21. Shareef, M. A., Dwivedi, Y. K., Kumar, V., Hughes, D. L., & Raman, R. (2022). Sustainable supply chain for disaster management: Structural dynamics and disruptive risks. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1-25.
- 22. Sylves, R. (2021). Using Historical Institutionalism: FEMA and US Disaster Declarations. In *Disaster and Emergency Management Methods* (pp. 306-338). Routledge.